arXiv:hep-th/9301037v1 11 Jan 1993

RU-92-17-B
CU-TP-584
CERN-TH 6768/93
January 1993

On the Electromagnetic Interactions of Anyons

Chihong Chou

Physics Department, Rockefeller University
New York, New York 10021, U.S.A.

V.P. Nair

Physics Department, Columbia University
New York, New York 10027, U.S.A.

and

Alexios P. Polychronakos

Theory Group, CERN
CH-1211, Genève 23
Switzerland

Abstract

Using the appropriate representation of the Poincaré group and a definition of minimal coupling, we discuss some aspects of the electromagnetic interactions of charged anyons. In a nonrelativistic expansion, we derive a Schrödinger-type equation for the anyon wave function which includes spin-magnetic field and spin-orbit couplings. In particular, the gyromagnetic ratio for charged anyons is shown to be 2; this last result is essentially a reflection of the fact that the spin is parallel to the momentum in (2+1) dimensions.

This work was supported in part by the US Department of Energy.

In two spatial dimensions, it has been known for some time, that one has the intriguing possibility of anyons or particles of arbitrary spin and statistics [1]. They may also be important in some physical situations. For example, the quasiparticles relevant to the understanding of fractional quantum Hall effect are considered to be anyons [2]. In this paper, we study some aspects of the electromagnetic interactions of anyons, in particular the gyromagnetic ratio and spin-orbit couplings.

There are many ways of constructing theories containing anyonic excitations. One of the most popular ways is to start with a theory of bosons or fermions and then couple these particles minimally to a U(1) gauge field, the so-called statistical field, whose dynamics is governed by the Chern-Simons action. The elimination of the gauge field then leads to a redefinition of one-particle states. The spin of the redefined one-particle states is not necessarily an integer or half-integer; it is a value determined by the coupling to the gauge field [3]. Simple as this procedure is, it is not the most economical or minimal way to understand anyons. As in discussions of point-particles in (3+1)-dimensional quantum mechanics, one can define one-anyon states as a unitary representation of the relevant operator algebra, viz. the (2+1)-dimensional Poincaré algebra [4,5]. (This is constructed as an induced representation [6].) One can also introduce a manifestly covariant wave equation for anyons, which is the anyonic analogue of the Dirac equation of spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ particles [6,7].

Since relativistic motion is unimportant in most condensed matter contexts, one may ask to what extent Poincaré or Lorentz symmetry, rather than Galilean symmetry, is useful. For the Dirac theory, the answer is well known. If one couples an external electromagnetic field minimally, one gets, in the nonrelativistic approximation, an improved Schrödinger equation with a spin-magnetic field coupling (due to spin magnetic moment) and a corresponding spin-orbit coupling. In particular the gyromagnetic ratio is 2. In this paper, we shall not use the covariant wave equation mentioned above. Instead we shall use the canonical or symplectic framework for the induced representation of Poincaré group appropriate to anyons [5,6]. We give a definition of minimal coupling of the electromagnetic field to anyons in the language of symplectic or canonical structure and then obtain the improved Schrödinger equation. In particular, we show that the gyromagnetic ratio g is 2 for anyons as well and there are the corresponding spin-magnetic field and spin-orbit couplings. We emphasize that these results only depend on symmetry and our definition of minimal coupling. They are universal, independent of any model for the realization of anyonic excitations. In (2+1) dimensions, the spin is parallel to the momentum, and as we argue below (after equation (19)), this is really all we need to show that $g = 2$.

We shall begin with a discussion of a spinless charged particle in an electromagnetic field. A spinless particle may be described by a set of momentum variables p^a and position variables x^a, $a = 0, 1, 2$. The canonical structure or symplectic two-form is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_0 &\equiv \tfrac{1}{2}\omega_{0,AB}\ d\xi^A \wedge d\xi^B \\ &= dx^a \wedge dp_a,\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $\xi^A = (p^a, x^a)$. The Poisson brackets are given by $\{\xi^A,\ \xi^B\} = (\omega_0^{-1})^{AB}$. (Thus the symplectic two-form may be regarded, albeit somewhat crudely, as a succinct way of specifying Poisson brackets.) The introduction of electromagnetic field A_a by the minimal prescription $p_a \to p_a - eA_a$ is equivalent to $\omega_0 \to \Omega_0 = \omega_0 + eF$, where $F = \frac{1}{2}F_{ab}dx^a \wedge dx^b$, $F_{ab} = \partial_a A_b - \partial_b A_a$ is the electromagnetic field strength and e is the charge of the particle.

The motion of the charged particle is given by the (classical) Lorentz equations, viz.

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{p^a}{m} &= \frac{dx^a}{d\tau}, \\ \frac{dp^a}{d\tau} &= -\frac{e}{m}F^{ab}p_b,\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

τ is the parameter for the trajectory of the particle (with mass m). We have chosen a specific parametrization or equivalently chosen a gauge-fixing for the gauge freedom of reparametrizations of the trajectory and so the equations (2) are not invariant under reparametrizations. Equations (2) tell us that the infinitesimal change of τ is given, on the phase space, by a vector field

$$V = \frac{p^a}{m}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^a} - \frac{e}{m}F^{ab}p_b\frac{\partial}{\partial p^a}. \tag{3}$$

The canonical generator of τ-evolution, say G_0, is defined by [8]

$$V\rfloor\Omega_0 = -dG_0. \tag{4}$$

($\rfloor$ denotes interior contraction, i.e. $v\rfloor\sigma = v^a\sigma_{ab}dx^b$, for $v = v^a\partial_a$ and $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}\sigma_{ab}dx^a \wedge dx^b$.) This gives $G_0 = -p^2/(2m) + \mathit{constant}$. Anticipating the eventual value of the constant, we choose it to be $m/2$. (In a sense, this will be the definition of the mass.) Thus

$$G_0 = -\frac{1}{2m}(p^2 - m^2). \tag{5}$$

Since we need reparametrization invariance, τ-evolution must be trivial. Thus we must set $G_0 \approx 0$. In the quantum theory we write

$$G_0\psi = 0. \tag{6}$$

From $\Omega_0 = \omega_0 + eF = \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{0,AB}\ d\xi^A \wedge d\xi^B$, we have

$$\Omega_{0,AB} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -g_{ab} \\ g_{ab} & eF_{ab} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{7}$$

where the metric $g_{ab} = diag(+ - - -)$. This gives the commutation rules

$$\begin{aligned} [x^a,\ x^b] &= 0, \\ [p^a,\ x^b] &= ig^{ab}, \\ [p^a,\ p^b] &= ieF^{ab}. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

These have the solutions

$$p_a = i\partial_a + eA_a, \tag{9}$$

and equation (6) becomes

$$[(\partial_a - ieA_a)^2 - m^2]\psi = 0. \tag{10}$$

This is the Schrödinger equation (in this case, the Klein-Gordon equation) which we are seeking. One can easily show that the Lorentz equations (2) are quantum mechanically realized through the Heisenberg equations of motion

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dx^a}{d\tau} &= \frac{1}{i}[x^a,\ G_0], \\ \frac{dp^a}{d\tau} &= \frac{1}{i}[p^a,\ G_0] \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

with the commutation rules (8).

The strategy of obtaining the Schrödinger-type equation is now clear. We start with the symplectic two-form. From the Lorentz equations we find the generator of τ-evolution. Setting this generator to zero on the wave functions gives us the equation we are seeking. To realize this as a differential equation we solve the commutation rules in terms of a set of coordinates and their derivatives (namely, canonical variables or Darboux coordinates).

For a free anyon with spin $-s$, the symplectic structure is given by [5,6]

$$\omega = dx^a \wedge dp_a + \tfrac{1}{2} s \epsilon_{abc} \frac{p^a dp^b \wedge dp^c}{(p^2)^{3/2}}. \tag{12}$$

The commutation rules are given by

$$\begin{aligned} &[x^a,\ x^b] = is\ \epsilon^{abc} \frac{p_c}{(p^2)^{3/2}}, \\ &[p^a,\ x^b] = ig^{ab}, \\ &[p^a,\ p^b] = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Consider the Lorentz generator J^a defined by

$$\begin{aligned} &[J^a,\ p^b] = i\epsilon^{abc} p_c, \\ &[J^a,\ x^b] = i\epsilon^{abc} x_c. \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

It is easy to see that J^a is given by

$$J^a = -\epsilon^{abc} x_b p_c - s\frac{p^a}{\sqrt{p^2}}. \tag{15}$$

We can solve the commutation rules (13) in terms of canonical variables as

$$\begin{aligned} x^a &= q^a + \alpha^a(p) \\ \alpha^a(p) &= s\epsilon^{abc}\frac{p_b \eta_c}{p^2 + \sqrt{p^2}\, p\cdot\eta}, \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where $\eta^a = (1,0,0)$ and $[q^a, q^b] = 0,\ [p^a, p^b] = 0,\ [p^a, q^b] = ig^{ab}$ or $q^a = -i\frac{\partial}{\partial p_a}$.

Using equation (16) for x^a in equation (15), we can write

$$J^a = -i\epsilon^{abc} p_b \frac{\partial}{\partial p^c} - s\frac{p^a + \sqrt{p^2}\eta^a}{\sqrt{p^2} + p\cdot\eta}. \tag{17}$$

We see that $p\cdot J + s\sqrt{p^2} = 0$. With $p^2 = m^2$, we see that the spin is indeed $-s$. Thus the symplectic structure ω of equation (12) is indeed appropriate to anyon.

The symplectic structure Ω for anyons in an electromagnetic field is now obtained by $\omega \rightarrow \Omega = \omega + eF$. Thus

$$\Omega = dx^a \wedge dp_a + \tfrac{1}{2} s\epsilon_{abc}\frac{p^a dp^b \wedge dp^c}{(p^2)^{3/2}} + \tfrac{1}{2} e F_{ab}\, dx^a \wedge dx^b + \ \mathcal{O}(\partial F). \tag{18}$$

With the introduction of spin it is possible that Ω has further corrections depending on the gradients of the field strength F. This is indicated by $\mathcal{O}(\partial F)$ in equation (18). To the approximation that we are interested in, these terms will not be important. Likewise, we take the equations of motion to be

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dx^a}{d\tau} &= \frac{p^a}{m}, \\ \frac{dp^a}{d\tau} &= -\frac{e}{m} F^{ab} p_b + \ \mathcal{O}(\partial F), \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

i.e. we have the Lorentz equations, again upto possible terms which depend on gradients of F. We can now determine the vector field of τ-evolution and proceed to obtain a wave equation. However, before doing so, we point out that already one can see that the gyromagnetic ratio must be 2. For this, consider the precession equation for the spin vector S^a in an external electromagnetic field. It is given by the Bargmann-Michel-Telegdi (BMT) equation[9]

$$\frac{dS^a}{d\tau} = -\frac{eg}{2m}\left[F^{ab}S_b - \frac{p^a(p_k F^{kl} S_l)}{p^2}\right] - \frac{p^a}{p^2}(S_k \frac{dp^k}{d\tau}) + \mathcal{O}(F^2, \partial F) \tag{20}$$

The BMT-equation only requires the constancy of $p \cdot S$, at least to the order in $(F, \partial F)$ that we are interested in; thus it is true for anyons as well. If one uses the equations of motion (19), we get

$$\frac{dS^a}{d\tau} = -\frac{eg}{2m}F^{ab}S_b \; + \; \frac{e}{2m}(g-2)\frac{p^a(p_k F^{kl} S_l)}{p^2} + \mathcal{O}(F^2, \partial F) \tag{21}$$

For a free anyon, the spin vector is parallel to the momentum; indeed, from (15), we have $S^a = -\frac{sp^a}{\sqrt{p^2}}$. With electromagnetic fields, we expect

$$S^a = -\frac{sp^a}{\sqrt{p^2}} \; + \; \mathcal{O}(F, \partial F) \tag{22}$$

Using the Lorentz equations (19), we thus get

$$\frac{dS^a}{d\tau} = -\frac{e}{m}F^{ab}S_b \; + \; \mathcal{O}(F^2, \partial F) \tag{23}$$

Comparing with equation (21), we see that we must have $g = 2$. The simple physical reason for this result is that, in (2+1) dimensions, spin and momentum are parallel, with corrections perhaps of order F.

We now turn to the wave equation. The vector field of τ-evolution is given by V of equation (3) with possible $\mathcal{O}(\partial F)$ corrections. The generator of τ-evolution, G, defined by $-dG = V \rfloor \Omega$, is identified from equation (18) as

$$G = -\frac{1}{2m}(p^2 + 2es\frac{F \cdot p}{\sqrt{p^2}} - m^2), \tag{24}$$

where $F_{ab} = \epsilon_{abc}F^c$. In this calculation we have neglected the gradients of F; otherwise the vector field V is not Hamiltonian, i.e. $V\rfloor\Omega$ is not the derivative of some function G. More generally one can introduce corrections to V so that it becomes Hamiltonian; in general one has to modify Ω as well. Although we shall not pursue this, in fact, one can do a systematic expansion in powers of ∂F and higher derivatives, zigzagging between corrections in V and corrections in Ω. Notice however that our expression for G is correct to the first order in the spin s even for a nonuniform field. In this case, the equation for p^a has a correction term $(-es/m)\frac{p^b}{\sqrt{p^2}}\partial^a F_b$, which is precisely the extra force on a dipole of magnetic moment $\mu = es/m$, which corresponds again to a gyromagnetic ratio 2. In fact, one can turn this argument around by introducing an arbitrary magnetic moment and the corresponding force in a nonuniform field; then the requirement that the corresponding vector field V be Hamiltonian will lead us to $g = 2$.

The wave functions obey the equation $G\psi = 0 + \mathcal{O}(\partial F)$, namely,

$$(p^2 + 2es\frac{F\cdot p}{\sqrt{p^2}} - m^2)\psi = 0. \tag{25}$$

We need to simplify the commutation rules in order to write this as a differential equation. Ω gives the commutation rules as

$$\begin{aligned}
[x^a,\ x^b] &= i(\tilde{M}^{-1}f)^{ab}, \\
[p^a,\ x^b] &= i(M^{-1})^{ab}, \\
[p^a,\ p^b] &= i(M^{-1}F)^{ab},
\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

where $f_{ab} = s\epsilon_{abc}p^c/(p^2)^{3/2}$ and $M_{ab} = g_{ab} + e(Ff)_{ab} \equiv g_{ab} + eF_{ak}f^k_{\ b}$. $\tilde{M}$ denotes the transpose of M.

It is, of course, very difficult to solve these equations in general. We shall solve (26) in terms of a series in powers of F. To the lowest nontrivial order, which is all we need for the discussion of magnetic moments and spin-orbit couplings, equation (26) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} [x^a,\ x^b] &= if^{ab} - i(fFf)^{ab}, \\ [p^a,\ x^b] &= ig^{ab} - i(Ff)^{ab}, \\ [p^a,\ p^b] &= iF^{ab}. \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

Notice that, to the order we are considering, there is no ordering problem in the commutation rules. We shall now solve these in terms of coordinates q^a and momenta k^a as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} p_a &= k_a - \tfrac{1}{2}eF_{ab}[q^b + 2\alpha^b(k)] + \ \mathcal{O}(F^2, \partial F, \cdots), \\ x_a &= q_a + \alpha_a(k) + \tfrac{1}{2}eF_{bc}\left(\frac{\partial \alpha_a}{\partial k_c}q^b + \frac{\partial \alpha^c}{\partial k^a}\alpha^b\right) + \ \mathcal{O}(F^2, \partial F, \cdots), \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

where

$$[q_a,\ q_b] = 0, \quad [k_a,\ k_b] = 0, \quad [k_a,\ q_b] = ig_{ab}, \tag{29}$$

and $\alpha^a(k)$ is given by equation (16). Notice that x_a and p_a in equation (28) are clearly hermitian operators. One can also verify that the Lorentz equations (19) are realized in the quantum theory as Heisenberg equations of motion by using (24), (27) and (28).

The quantity $-\frac{1}{2}F_{ab}q^b$ is the electromagnetic vector potential A_a for a constant strength F, or a slowly varying field in the sense of our approximation. Using equations (28), (29), the constraint of reparametrization invariance, viz. equation (25) becomes

$$\left[(k_a + eA_a - eF_{ab}\alpha^b)^2 + 2es\frac{F \cdot k}{\sqrt{k^2}} - m^2\right]\psi = 0 + \ \mathcal{O}(F^2, \partial F, \cdots). \tag{30}$$

We can further simplify this equation as

$$\left[(k_a + eA_a)^2 + 2e\epsilon_{abc}k^a F^b \alpha^c + 2es\frac{F \cdot k}{\sqrt{k^2}} - m^2\right]\psi = 0 + \ \mathcal{O}(F^2, \partial F, \cdots). \tag{31}$$

We can now obtain the nonrelativistic equation by writing the wave function ψ in terms of the nonrelativistic wave function ψ_{NR} as

$$\psi = e^{-imq^0}\psi_{\mathrm{NR}}. \tag{32}$$

This gives $k_0 = m + H$ where H is the operator $i\frac{\partial}{\partial q^0}$ on $\psi_{\rm NR}$. One can take H to be small compared to m and expand (31) to first order in H. We then find

$$H\psi_{\rm NR} = \left[\frac{(\mathbf{k}+e\mathbf{A})^2}{2m} - \frac{e}{m}\epsilon_{abc}k^a F^b \alpha^c - \frac{es}{m}\frac{k_a F^a}{\sqrt{k^2}} \; + \; eA_0\right]\psi_{\rm NR} + \; \mathcal{O}(F^2, \partial F, \frac{1}{m^2}, \cdots). \tag{33}$$

Further, we see that in our approximation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{k_a F^a}{\sqrt{k^2}} &\approx F_0 - \frac{\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{F}}{m}, \\ \epsilon_{abc}k^a F^b \alpha^c &\approx \frac{s}{2m}\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{F}. \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

Equation (33) then becomes

$$i\frac{\partial\psi_{\rm NR}}{\partial q^0} = \left[\frac{(\mathbf{k}+e\mathbf{A})^2}{2m} + \frac{es}{2m^2}\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{F} - \frac{es}{m}F_0 \; + eA_0\right]\psi_{\rm NR} + \; \mathcal{O}(F^2, \partial F, \frac{1}{m^2}, \cdots). \tag{35}$$

It should be noted that, in our weak field approximation, we have $t \equiv x^0 = q^0 + \; \mathcal{O}(F^2)$ from equation (29). Thus k^0 is indeed the time-translation operator and so $H = i\frac{\partial}{\partial t} = i\frac{\partial}{\partial q^0} + \; \mathcal{O}(F^2, \partial F, \cdots)$ acting on $\psi_{\rm NR}$.

Since F^0 is the magnetic field, we see from equation (35) that the anyon has a magnetic moment μ given by

$$\mu \equiv \frac{e}{2m}gs = \frac{es}{m}. \tag{36}$$

In other words, we see again that the gyromagnetic ratio g is 2. The term $\frac{es}{2m^2}\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{F}$ contains the spin-orbit coupling. Given μ, one can derive the spin-orbit interaction by Lorentz transformation of the electromagnetic fields, properly taking account of Thomas precession. Needless to say, the spin-orbit interaction in equation (35) agrees with such a derivation as well. (We have not considered quantized electromagnetic fields; these results hold in the limit of neglecting radiative corrections.)

Some remarks are in order. The terms we have neglected in our expansions involve higher powers of the field and its derivatives. It is clear that such terms cannot modify our results for the magnetic moment and spin-orbit interaction. Secondly, the commutation rules in equation (27) have many solutions which are unitary transforms of our solutions (28). If one uses one of these other solutions, one should take account of the fact that the Hamiltonian is not simply k^0 (q^0 is no longer representing time) but the corresponding unitary transform. We kept $x^0 \approx q^0$ so that k^0 is indeed the time-translation operator.

Our analysis is clearly reminiscent of the Foldy-Wouthuysen (FW) transformation of the Dirac theory. In a sense, we are constructing the FW-transformation for anyons but without starting from the covariant wave equation. The x-operators which do not commute are similar to the noncommuting position operators of the Dirac theory; the q's are like the mean position operators. As with the FW-transformation, once we have external fields, our analysis can be done only in an expansion in powers and derivatives of the field [10].

Note added:

While this paper was being typed, we received a preprint 'Electromagnetic Interactions of Anyons in Nonrelativistic Quantum Field Theory,' by J.L.Cortés, J.Gamboa and L.Velázquez, Zaragoza-Orsay preprint, hep-th number 9211106, where anyons are obtained by coupling Dirac fields to a $U(1)$ Chern-Simons gauge field. The authors argue that a nonrelativistic expansion yields $g = 2$ for this model.

References

1. E.Merzbacher, *Am.J.Phys.* **30**, 237 (1960); J.Leinaas and J.Myrheim, *Nuovo Cimento*, **37**, 1 (1977); G.Goldin, R.Menikoff and D.Sharp, *J.Math.Phys.*, **21**, 650 (1980); **22**, 1664 (1981); F.Wilczek, *Phys.Rev.Lett.*, **49**, 957 (1982); F.Wilczek and A.Zee, *Phys.Rev.Lett.*, **51**, 2250 (1983); M.Bowick, D.Karabali and L.C.R.Wijewardhana, *Nucl.Phys.*, **B271**, 417 (1986); for a recent review, see F.Wilczek, *Fractional Statis-*

tics and Anyon Superconductivity (World Scientific, Singapore, 1990).

2. R.B.Laughlin, *Phys.Rev.Lett.*, **50**, 1395 (1983); for a recent review, see articles in *Physics and Mathematics of Anyons*, Proceedings of the TCSUH Workshop, Houston, 1991, S.S.Chern, C.W.Chu and C.S.Ting (eds.) (World Scientific, Singapore, 1991).
3. C.R.Hagen, *Ann.Phys.(NY)*, **157**, 342 (1984); *Phys.Rev.*, **D31**, 848 (1985); **31**, 2135 (1985); D.Arovas, J.Schrieffer, F.Wilczek and A.Zee, *Nucl.Phys.*, **B251**, 117 (1985); for a recent review, see R.Jackiw, in *Physics, Geometry and Topology*, Proceedings of the NATO ASI, Banff, 1989, H.C.Lee (ed.) (Plenum Press, New York, 1990).
4. B. Binegar, *J.Math.Phys.*, **23**, 1511 (1982).
5. A.P.Balachandran, G.Marmo, B.Skagerstam and A.Stern, *Gauge Symmetries and Fibre Bundles* (Springer, Berlin, 1983) and references therein; P.Gerbert, *Nucl.Phys.*, **B346**, 440 (1990); S.Forte and T.Jolicoeur, *Nucl.Phys.*, **B350**, 589 (1991).
6. R.Jackiw and V.P.Nair, *Phys.Rev.*, **D43**, 1933 (1991).
7. M.S.Plyushchay, *Phys.Lett.*, **B248**, 107 (1990); D.Shon and S.Khlebnikov, *JETP Lett.*, **51**, 611 (1990); D.Volkov, D.Sorokin and V.Tkach, in *Problems in Modern Quantum Field Theory*, A.Belavin, A.Klimyk and A.Zamolodchikov (eds.) (Springer, Berlin, 1989).
8. See for example, V.I.Arnol'd, *Mathematical Methods of Classical Mechanics*, (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989); V.Guillemin and S.Sternberg, *Symplectic Techniques in Physics* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990).
9. See for example, J.D.Jackson, *Classical Electrodynamics* (John Wiley and Sons, Inc. 1975).

10. See for example, C.Itzykson and J-B.Zuber, *Quantum Field Theory* (McGraw Hill, New York, 1980).